

# The self-induced charge current in the experiments detecting photon rest mass

Jian-Qi Shen \*

Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics and Department of Physics,  
Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P.R. China  
(February 2, 2008)

This note considers two related topics regarding the *self-induced charge current* in the experiments detecting photon rest mass: (i) the effective rest mass,  $(0.3 \sim 1) \times 10^{-54}$  Kg, of photons arising from the ions in the secondary cosmic ray is compared to Luo *et al.*'s new upper limit on photon rest mass ( $1.2 \times 10^{-54}$  Kg); (ii) there are two main classes of experiments to detect photon rest mass based respectively on the Ampère-Maxwell-Proca equation and the Lagrangian (or Hamiltonian) density of electromagnetically interacting system.

PACS number(s): 03.50.De

During the last three decades, photon rest mass problem captured special attention of many investigators who have reported several experimental upper limits on the photon mass  $m_\gamma$  by using various methods [1–9]. Since the radiation from pulsars and/or certain explosive astrophysical events at high redshift can be used to place the severe limits on both the fractional variation ( $\Delta c/c$ ) in the speed of light with frequency and the photon rest mass [10], in 1969 Feinberg analyzed the data obtained in the detection of the sharply defined radio and optical pulses from pulsars and indicated that the rest mass of a real photon is less than  $10^{-47}$  Kg [1]. Goldhaber *et al.* discussed the method used to set a limit on the photon rest mass (*e.g.*, sensitive techniques which test Coulomb's law and its analog in magnetostatics) and showed that the laboratory tests of Coulomb's law [2] gave an upper limit on photon rest mass of  $2 \times 10^{-50}$  Kg and the constant "external" magnetic field experiment at the Earth's surface obtained a limit  $m_\gamma \leq 4 \times 10^{-51}$  Kg [3]. The experiments of Pioneer-10 measurement of Jovian magnetic field [5] and hydromagnetic wave in the Earth's magnetosphere and solar wind [6] derived an upper limit on  $m_\gamma$  of about  $10^{-52}$  Kg. In 1992 Chernokov *et al.* suggested a new method to set an upper limit on the photon mass at low temperature (1.24 K) based on a null test of Ampère's law and their experiment resulted in an upper limit about  $10^{-48}$  Kg [7]. Fischbach *et al.* investigated a new geometric limit on the photon rest mass which was derived from an analysis of satellite measurements of the Earth's magnetic field. The order of magnitude of the upper limit on  $m_\gamma$  obtained by Fischbach *et al.* was  $10^{-51}$  Kg [8]. In 1998, Lakes reported that their experimental approach based on a toroid Cavendish balance which was used to evaluate the product of photon rest mass squared and the ambient cosmic magnetic vector potential gave an upper limit on  $m_\gamma$  of about  $4 \times 10^{-52}$  Kg [9]. More recently, Luo *et al.* obtained the most new upper limit on photon rest mass of  $1.2 \times 10^{-54}$  Kg by means of *rotating torsion balance* experiment [11].

Although both Luo *et al.*'s experimental scheme and their obtained results are excellent and impressive, here we have a supplement to their results, since our evaluation shows that the *photon effective rest mass* due to the *self-induced charge currents* [12,13] in the environmental dilute plasma (*e.g.*, the muon ( $\mu$ ) component and alpha-particles in the secondary cosmic ray flux) is just the *same* order of magnitude [14] as Luo's obtained upper limit on photon mass.

In addition, it is worthwhile to point out that there are two main classes of experiments to detect photon rest mass based on the Ampère-Maxwell-Proca equation and the Lagrangian (or Hamiltonian) density of electromagnetically interacting system, respectively. The torsion balance experiments [9,11] considered here fall into the latter class (*i.e.*, based on the electromagnetic Lagrangian and Hamiltonian density).

In what follows we will discuss these two problems.

We consider the potential effects of self-induced charge currents arising in the torsion balance experiments [9,11]. The Lagrangian density of electrodynamics reads

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\mu_\gamma^2 A_\mu A_\mu + \mu_0 J_\mu A_\mu, \quad (1)$$

---

\*E-mail address: jqshen@coer.zju.edu.cn

where  $\mu_\gamma^2 = \left(\frac{m_\gamma c}{\hbar}\right)^2$  with  $m_\gamma$ ,  $\hbar$  and  $c$  being the photon rest mass, Planck's constant and speed of light in a free vacuum, respectively, and  $\mu_0$  denotes the magnetic permeability in a vacuum. Here the summation over the repeated indices is implied. It follows from (1) that the canonical momentum density of electromagnetic field reads  $\pi_\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\mu}$  or  $\vec{\pi}(x) = -\mathbf{E}(x)$ . In the ions plasma, due to the conservation law of canonical momentum density of interacting electromagnetic system, *i.e.*,  $\frac{d}{dt}(m\mathbf{v} + e\mathbf{A}) = 0$ , we have  $\mathbf{v} = -\frac{e}{m}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{C}$  with  $\mathbf{C}$  being a constant velocity. By using the formula  $\mathcal{H} = -\mathbf{E} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{A}} - \mathcal{L}$  for the system Hamiltonian and the electric current density  $\mathbf{J} = Ne\mathbf{v} = -\frac{Ne^2}{m}\mathbf{A} + Ne\mathbf{C}$  with  $N$  standing for the volume density of ions, one can arrive at (in SI)

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{E}^2}{c^2} + \mathbf{B}^2 + \left( \mu_\gamma^2 + 2\frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m} \right) \mathbf{A}^2 + \frac{1}{\mu_\gamma^2 c^2} \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} - \frac{\mathbf{J}_0}{\epsilon_0} \right)^2 \right] + \mathbf{J}_0 \mathbf{A}_0 - Ne\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{A}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{J}_0 = \rho$  and  $\mathbf{A}_0 = \phi$  are respectively the electric charge density and electric scalar potential, and  $\epsilon_0$  represents the electric permittivity in a vacuum and  $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}}$ . Note that here  $\frac{1}{\mu_\gamma^2 c^2} \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} - \frac{\mathbf{J}_0}{\epsilon_0} \right)^2$  results from both the mass term  $\frac{\mu_\gamma^2}{c^2} \mathbf{A}_0^2$  and the Gauss's law  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -\mu_\gamma^2 \mathbf{A}_0 + \frac{\mathbf{J}_0}{\epsilon_0}$ .

It follows from (2) that the *total effective rest mass squared* of electromagnetic fields in ions plasma is given as follows

$$\mu_{\text{tot}}^2 = \mu_\gamma^2 + 2\frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m}. \quad (3)$$

It is known that at the sea level, the current density of muon component in secondary cosmic rays is about  $1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  [15]. Assuming that the muon velocity approaches the speed of light, the volume density of muon can be derived and the result is  $N_\mu = 0.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^{-3}$ . So, according to the formula (3), the photon effective rest mass due to the *self-induced muon charge current* takes the form

$$m_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\hbar}{c^2} \sqrt{\frac{2N_\mu e^2}{\epsilon_0 m_\mu}} \quad (4)$$

with  $m_\mu$  denoting the muon mass, electromagnetic wave with wavelength  $\lambda \gg 100 \text{ m}$  [14] at the sea level acquires an effective rest mass about  $0.3 \times 10^{-53} \text{ Kg}$ . Hence, likewise, in the *rotating torsion balance* experiment the ambient cosmic magnetic vector potentials (interstellar magnetic fields) with low or zero frequencies may also acquire such effective rest mass.

Note that the above-mentioned muon volume density ( $0.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^{-3}$ ) is the datum obtained only at the sea level. In order to evaluate the muon volume density in the environment where Luo's experiment was performed, readers may be referred to the following handbook data of muon current density in underground cosmic rays: the muon current densities are respectively  $10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  and  $10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  at the equivalent water depths of 100 m and 1000 m under the ground [15]. Since in Luo's experiment the total apparatus is located in a cave laboratory, on which the least thickness of the cover is more than 40 m [11], it is reasonably believed that the muon density of secondary cosmic rays in the vacuum chamber of Luo's experiment may be one or two orders of magnitude less than that at the sea level. This, therefore, means that by using the formula (4) the effective rest mass acquired by photons in Luo's vacuum chamber may be about  $(0.3 \sim 1) \times 10^{-54} \text{ Kg}$  which can be compared to Luo's result ( $1.2 \times 10^{-54} \text{ Kg}$ ).

It is believed that the air molecules in the low-pressure vacuum chamber of torsion balance experiment cannot be easily ionized by the alpha-particles, electrons and protons of cosmic rays, because, for example, it is readily verified that the mean free path of alpha-particle moving at about  $10^7 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  in the dilute air with the pressure being only  $10^{-2} \text{ Pa}$  [11] is too large (*i.e.*, more than  $10^6 \text{ m}$ ) [14] for the dilute air molecules to be ionized. So, the air medium in the low-pressure vacuum chamber has nothing to contribute to the effective rest mass of photons. However, as far as the alpha-particle,  $\pi$  mesons, electrons *etc.* in secondary cosmic rays are concerned, these ions will also contribute about  $10^{-54} \text{ Kg}$  to photon rest mass, since, for instance, the volume density of alpha-particles and other ions at the sea level in secondary cosmic rays is about one order of magnitude less than that of muons [15].

Thus the photon effective rest mass resulting from both muons and other ions in secondary cosmic rays can be compared to the newly obtained upper limit ( $1.2 \times 10^{-54} \text{ Kg}$ ) by Luo *et al.* in their recent rotating torsion balance experiment [11]. For this reason, Luo's experimental upper limit on photon mass is said to be just a critical value. It is reasonably believed that Luo's recent experimental result is of physical interest. However, the photon mass problem still deserves further experimental investigation so as to improve the present upper limit on photon mass. It is claimed that, in the near future, only the contribution of ions in environmental dilute plasma, *i.e.*, the secondary

cosmic rays is ruled out, can we deal better with the experimental schemes, which can place severe limit on the photon rest (intrinsic) mass and other related experimental results. In addition, it is apparently seen that not only the photon intrinsic rest mass but also the effective mass due to media dispersion will play important roles in both fundamental and applied physics. For example, taking into account the influence of the media dispersion and the consequent photon effective mass on the geometric phases of photons propagating inside a noncoplanarly curved fiber [16] is physically interesting, which is under consideration and would be published elsewhere.

Additionally, the difference between the two categories of  $\mu_{\text{eff}}^2$  based on different physical mechanisms should be pointed out: the theoretical mechanism of torsion balance experiment lies in the Lagrangian or Hamiltonian (2) of interacting electromagnetic system, so, the effective rest mass squared of electromagnetic fields is  $\mu_{\text{eff}}^2 = 2\frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m}$ . However, for those experimental schemes [1,7,8] of photon rest mass stemming from the Ampère-Maxwell-Proca equation  $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E} + \mu_0 \mathbf{J} - \mu_\gamma^2 \mathbf{A}$  and the consequent

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E} - [\mu_\gamma^2 + \frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m}] \mathbf{A} + \mu_0 Ne \mathbf{C}, \quad (5)$$

the total mass squared  $\mu_{\text{tot}}^2$  should be  $\mu_{\text{tot}}^2 = \mu_\gamma^2 + \frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m}$  rather than that in (3). This minor difference between these two  $\mu_{\text{tot}}^2$  results from the derivative procedure applied to the Lagrangian density by using the Euler-Lagrange equation. Thus the effective rest mass squared of electromagnetic fields in the experiments based on the Ampère-Maxwell-Proca equation (5) is  $\mu_{\text{eff}}^2 = \frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m}$  rather than  $\mu_{\text{eff}}^2 = 2\frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m}$ . The so-called experimental realizations stemming from the Ampère-Maxwell-Proca equation are as follows: pulsar test of a variation of the speed of light with frequency [1]; geomagnetic limit on photon mass based on the analysis of satellite measurements of the Earth's field [8]; experimental test of Ampère's law at low temperature [7], *etc.*. In all these experimental schemes, the effective mass squared is  $\mu_{\text{eff}}^2 = \frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m}$ .

It is shown that in the rotating torsion balance experiments the extra torque  $2\frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m} \mathbf{a}_d \times \mathbf{A}$  produced by the interaction of magnetic dipole vector potential moment  $\mathbf{a}_d$  with the ambient cosmic magnetic vector potential will also acts upon the toroid in the torsion balance experiments [9,11] whose apparatus is immersed in the dilute plasma such as the cosmic rays and radon gas. Here the photon effective rest mass squared is  $\mu_{\text{eff}}^2 = 2\frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m}$  rather than  $\mu_{\text{eff}}^2 = \frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m}$ , as stated above.

**Acknowledgements** This project was supported partially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the project No. 90101024.

- 
- [1] G. Feinberg, *Nature* **166** (1969) 879.
  - [2] E. R. Williams, J. E. Faller and H. A. Hill, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **26** (1971) 721.
  - [3] A. S. Goldhaber and M. M. Nieto, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **43** (1971) 277.
  - [4] J. D. Barrow and R. R. Burman, *Nature* **307** (1984) 14.
  - [5] L. Davis, A. S. Goldhaber and M. M. Nieto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **35** (1975) 1402.
  - [6] M. A. Gintsburg, *Sov. Astron.* **7** (1964) 536.
  - [7] M. A. Chernikov, C. J. Gerber and H. R. Ott, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992) 3383.
  - [8] E. Fischbach, H. Kloor, R. A. Langel, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 514.
  - [9] R. Lakes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998) 1826.
  - [10] B. E. Schaefer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999) 4964.
  - [11] J. Luo, L. C. Tu, Z. K. Hu and E. J. Luan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** (2003) 081801.
  - [12] B. Y. Hou and B. Y. Hou, *Phys. Ener. Fort. Phys. Nucl. (China)* **3** (1979) 225.
  - [13] J. Q. Shen, *Phys. Scr.* **68** (2003) 87.
  - [14] J. Q. Shen and F. Zhuang, *arXiv: cond-mat/0308327* (2003).
  - [15] Z. Y. Mei, *Dictionary of Physics: Cosmic Rays Booklet* (Scientific Press of China, 1983) p.17-20.
  - [16] J. Q. Shen and L. H. Ma, *Phys. Lett. A* **308** (2003) 355.